

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/714,248 11/13/2003		George W. Hager II	16502	2457	
50659 7	7590 08/23/2006		EXAMINER		
BUTZEL LONG			PICO, ERIC E		
DOCKETING DEPARTMENT 100-BLOOMFIELD HILLS PARKWAY			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUITE 200			3654		
BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48304			DATE MAILED: 08/23/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/714,248	HAGER, GEORGE W.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Eric Pico	3654		

		Eric Pico	3654	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE R	EPLY FILED <u>07 July 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI		•	
1. ⊠ T th p a	the reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on his application, applicant must timely file one of the follow laces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant me periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aft stice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
	The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.		
b) [The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejecti	on.
	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		E FIRST REPLY WAS P	ILED WITHIN
nave be under 3 set forth may rec	ons of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date en filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex 7 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later uce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) E OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origor than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
fi a	he Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed DMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause
(a	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a linar rejection, a) \boxtimes They raise new issues that would require further coo. \square They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below).	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
•	 They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE betoe) They are not deemed to place the application in beautiful appeal; and/or 	• •	educing or simplifying	the issues for
(1	appeal, and/of d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re-	iected claims	
v	NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		,00.00	
4. 🗍 ·	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		,	,
6. 🔲	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a on-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. 🔯 I h	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ow the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro he status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an o	explanation of
	laim(s) allowed:			
	laim(s) objected to: laim(s) rejected: 1-18.			
	laim(s) rejected. <u>7-70</u> . laim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
	AVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
b	he affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu ecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good an las not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
е	he affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing ntered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o howing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation			
REQU	EST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. 🖾	The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper i	Vo(s)	
13. 🔲	Other:		Kathy MAT	Maleck
			CHOEDINGODY DATEN	T EVAMINED

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Application No. 10/714,248

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The proposed amendments in claim 1, Lines 4, 5, 9 and 10, reciting "connected to an elevator car during a repair operation and removed after the repair operation" and "when said central beam is temporarily connected to the elevator car normal operation of the of the elevator car is prevented" are examples raising new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.